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Informed consent for the study of retained tissues from postmortem examination following sudden infant death

J G Elliot,1 D L Ford,2 J F Beard,3 K N Fitzgerald,2 P J Robinson,4 A L James1,5

ABSTRACT

Objective: To develop an approach for seeking informed consent to examine tissues retained from a previous study of sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) as part of a study on asthma, and to document responses and participation rate.

Design: Pilot open-ended approach to 10 volunteer SIDS parents, followed by staged approach (newsletter, mail and telephone call) to seek consent from the target SIDS families for the asthma study.

Participants: Parents (n = 10) of SIDS infants known to SIDS and Kids Victoria and parents of SIDS infants (n = 107) from the 1991–2 SIDS in Victoria case–control study.

Main outcomes: Qualitative responses of the piloted parents and study parents, and participation rates.

Results: The pilot group responses were used to refine the written material to be provided. Of the 72 families for which contact details were available, 45 gave verbal consent for contact by the Victorian Institute of Forensic Medicine regarding the asthma study, three refused and 24 did not respond to two letters. Thirty-three completed consent forms, all positive for participation in the asthma study.

Conclusions: The use of postmortem tissue for research is acceptable to the next of kin when an approach is sensitive to their concerns and needs and is made by experienced counsellors from a familiar organisation. Despite the painful memories evoked by the approach of the research group, the acceptance rate among those who could be contacted was high.

The use of postmortem tissues for research raises a number of issues regarding consent, ownership, family grief and cultural and religious beliefs and has been the subject of considerable scrutiny. Publicised inquiries into the retention and use of postmortem tissues have raised a number of issues, particularly that of informed consent. Australian legislation states that authority for autopsy is authority for retention of tissue, removed for the purpose of the autopsy, for medical, scientific or therapeutic purposes. This followed the recommendations of the Australian Law Reform commission in 1977, which explicitly stated that the public benefits flowing from this approach outweighed the need to obtain individual consent. Clearly, by the 1990s this was out of step with community attitudes. The Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia published guidelines on autopsy and the use of tissues removed at autopsy in 1994. The reports from these inquiries have led to recommendations and changes to the Coroner’s Act, which require more stringent consent protocols and explicit documentation for the use of tissues taken at autopsy.

Although informed consent for the use of postmortem tissues for research may be obtained around the time of death, the further use of such tissues for the same or new projects raises other questions. Subsequent studies related to the initial aims of the research require separate ethics committee approval but not necessarily new approaches to the next of kin. However, studies that are outside the aims of the original research may require ethical approval and further approaches to next of kin, which may incur considerable expense and inconvenience to researchers and subjects. Little is known about the impact and likely success rate of these further approaches.

In 1991, the Centre for the Study of Mothers’ and Children’s Health and the Sudden Infant Death Research Foundation (SIDRF) (now known as SIDS and Kids, Victoria) commenced a case–control study of the epidemiology of SIDS in Victoria. In 1995, the Ethics Committee of the Victorian Institute of Forensic Medicine (VIFM) granted permission for the further use of samples of formalin-fixed lung tissue from the 1991–2 SIDS study for research into airway dimensions in SIDS. In 2003, a new study was proposed to use the lung tissue samples to examine airways in relation to parental history of asthma—data that had been collected at the time of the original study. Since the study of asthma was not directly related to the ethics committee approval given for the 1991 SIDS study, the VIFM determined that a further approach to the parents was needed if the stored tissues were to be used. In order to undertake the study of asthma in tissues from SIDS infants, we found ourselves with an ethical dilemma. On the one hand, approaching parents would be a distressing reminder of their child’s death. On the other, these parents had previously given consent to participate in a study of SIDS and were well known to SIDS and Kids, and many had expressed the view that participation in research was a good outcome from the tragic event. VIFM had the view that ethical approval for the asthma project had not been granted. Therefore, it was decided that we should explore the most appropriate method of a further approach to parents for consent for future research. The authors made use of the close association between SIDS and Kids and the pilot families to develop the approach. It was decided that a structured approach would be

Correspondence to:
Mr J Elliot, West Australian Sleep Disorders Research Institute, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Nedlands, Western Australia, Australia; 2 SIDS and Kids Victoria, Malvern, Victoria, Australia; 3 Victorian Institute of Forensic Medicine, South Bank, Victoria, Australia; 4 Department of Respiratory Medicine, Royal Children’s Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; 5 School of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Western Australia, Australia.

Received 30 April 2007
Revised 23 December 2007
Accepted 9 January 2008

1 West Australian Sleep Disorders Research Institute, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Nedlands, Western Australia, Australia; 2 SIDS and Kids Victoria, Malvern, Victoria, Australia; 3 Victorian Institute of Forensic Medicine, South Bank, Victoria, Australia; 4 Department of Respiratory Medicine, Royal Children’s Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; 5 School of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Western Australia, Australia.
devised, based on a pilot study in a group of parents known to SIDS and Kids. This paper describes this process, parental responses and eventual response rate.

METHODS
The 1991–2 case–control study of SIDS in the state of Victoria identified families who had experienced a child’s death thought to be due to SIDS. Sources included ambulance and police officers, paediatric emergency nursing staff and SIDS grief counsellors. The families were invited to participate in a formal research interview. We administered standardised questionnaires relating to demographics, socioeconomic background, the pregnancy and birth of the infant, and the medical histories of the infant and parents, including cigarette smoking and parental history of asthma. Participants gave written consent at the time for the questionnaire data to be used in other ethically approved research studies, provided they were related to SIDS.

Postmortem examination
In all cases of sudden unexplained death in Victoria, a postmortem examination is undertaken under the direction of the state coroner. At the time, a diagnosis of SIDS was made when postmortem examination and circumstances surrounding death did not suggest an alternative cause. Tissue blocks, fixed in formalin and processed into paraffin wax, were sectioned, stained and examined microscopically. As an accreditation requirement, tissue blocks are stored for at least 20 years.

Advisory group
Consent from the 1991 study did not encompass studies focused on diseases other than SIDS, such as that proposed in the 2003 asthma study. To establish a protocol for further contact with parents from the original 1991 study, an advisory group was established, consisting of representatives from SIDS and Kids Victoria, VIFM and the asthma research group. Several documents were drafted: an introductory letter from the chief executive officer (CEO) of SIDS and Kids Victoria; an information sheet outlining the research, and consent forms for the current research study and for possible future studies. In addition, an article in the 2003 SIDS and Kids newsletter was used to develop the documentation to be used in the original SIDS study. Qualitative information was recorded on the responses of parents to this contact. This was open ended and included reactions and knowledge of retained tissues after postmortem examinations, willingness to participate, reaction to ongoing research and specific information that the parents would like to receive. Third, an information sheet and consent forms regarding the asthma study were posted to parents if they wished to receive them. Written consent was requested for participation in the asthma study or participation in future, unspecified studies, or both. In either case, parents could choose to be contacted about potential research, notified about future studies or not contacted at all in the future. Parents were also given the option to receive written information about the coronial process, including autopsy.

RESULTS
Pilot group of SIDS parents
Ten parents were approached to consult on the study. None had previously been involved in research using retained tissues, and all raised a number of concerns. Two who knew that autopsies were conducted in cases of SIDS were unaware that tissue had been retained. Their reaction to this information progressed from shock, feelings of exclusion regarding the retention of tissue and feelings of being offended, to expression that through the research, their infant’s death might make a positive contribution to helping others. The latter was expressed as a wholehearted acceptance of the need for research and willingness to participate. Specific feedback from the pilot parents was used to develop the documentation to be used in the approach regarding future research. Concerns were expressed about implications for parents who were smokers at the time of their child’s death. Issues regarding where and how much tissue was stored and conditions for its use were also raised. Parents also requested information about clinically relevant research findings, particularly in relation to the death of their infant. There was uniform agreement that the correspondence should be simplified as much as possible. It was also decided that parents must have a choice about whether or not they wanted to receive a plain English information sheet about the coronial process relating to the postmortem examination. In response to the concerns of the pilot group, changes were made to the documentation, including the removal of parental smoking from the study title.

Parental contact
SIDS and Kids had contact details of 30 (28%) families from the 1991–2 research cohort, and a further 42 (39%) contact details
were obtained from other sources (fig 1). Thirty-five families (33%) could not be located. Seventy-two families (67%) were sent an initial letter from the CEO of SIDS and Kids introducing the research. Of these, 45 families (62%) gave verbal permission for their contact details to be given to VIFM so they could be contacted to discuss the possibility of consent for the use of the retained tissues. This represented 42% of the original cohort. Three families refused permission and 24 did not respond to two letters and could not be contacted by telephone, suggesting that their contact details were no longer correct.

Parent responses and consent rate
The outcomes of interviews with the researchers from VIFM are summarised in table 1. Surprisingly, only two parents expressed the degree of distress anticipated by the pilot group of parents. One of these agreed to participate in the study. Two others expressed minor distress. Seven subjects requested that information regarding the autopsy process not be sent to them and 17 indicated that they wanted to receive all documentation. Nineteen parents were “keen to help” and 19 stated at the initial telephone call that they would participate in the study. Thirty-nine parents expressed willingness to participate in the asthma study and possibly future studies at the time of interview, and 45 were sent documentation. Thirty-three families returned the consent forms to VIFM, all giving consent for the use of retained tissues in the present study. Twenty-six families gave consent for future studies and six did not return documentation and could not be contacted further (fig 1).

DISCUSSION
We sought consent for the use of retained autopsy tissue from families who had experienced the death of an infant from SIDS more than a decade earlier. Our intention was to link histological findings from the tissues to epidemiological data from the 1991–2 SIDS in Victoria case–control study. Since the initial study, there has been considerable public debate about the use of autopsy tissue for research. We derived a method of approaching families from the 1991 study to address these issues and minimise anticipated distress. As far as possible, potential concerns were identified in a pilot group of SIDS parent advisers by using experienced SIDS and Kids bereavement counsellors and the family liaison coordinator from VIFM. The study was introduced by a figure familiar to the families (the CEO of SIDS and Kids) and information was provided through the SIDS and Kids newsletter, which the families had previously received.

Of the 39 families that could be contacted, 85% agreed to the use of retained tissues. This shows that in this group of unexplained deaths, where there was informed consent and an opportunity to decline participation, most families were willing for retained tissue to be used for the purpose of research. It would probably not be justified to extrapolate the results to families of children who die from other causes, such as drowning or stillbirth. Rodriguez-Villar and colleagues obtained a 59% donation rate from the next of kin for corneas and other tissues, after postmortem examinations, that were suitable for transplantation.13 The parent group in the present study of families was self-selected, in that they had already agreed to participate in a research project related to the death of their infants. Therefore, other factors (religious or cultural beliefs or personal reasons) that might preclude families within the general population from participating in research projects of this nature were unlikely to affect our results. It is possible that the 24 families that did not respond to two letters and could not be contacted by telephone were actively avoiding the researchers. We considered this unlikely, since (a) the parents had previously been in a research project associated with SIDS and Kids, (b) few or none would have had a call-recognition facility and (c) there was a high acceptance rate among those who were contacted by telephone. We felt that it was more likely that contact details were no longer current. Since our main aim was to address the issue of consent for ongoing use of autopsy tissues retained from a previous study, our results are relevant and generalisable. This was evident in the finding that fewer of the study parents were distressed than was expected on the basis of the pilot group, who had mostly not discussed research of this nature or postmortem examinations. In the event, the piloted families expressed considerable distress and surprise, since they were considering the use of the postmortem tissue samples for the first time. They first had to “work through” their own reactions before considering the virtues or otherwise of such research.

This study has shown that research involving retained autopsy tissues is generally acceptable to the next of kin when they are sensitively approached and thoroughly informed by an experienced counsellor from a familiar and supportive organisation, in this case SIDS and Kids and VIFM. Even in this setting, where revisiting the catastrophic sudden loss of an infant will inevitably cause distress, most parents found the research to be...
Table 1  Parent (n = 45) responses to telephone interviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very distressed</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willing to help</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes to asthma study at interview</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request all forms sent</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request to withhold postmortem information sheet</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*More than one response recorded for some parents.

a positive outcome. Parents found that the use of tissue samples and information related to the death of their child in some way allowed something positive to come from the tragedy. It may be argued that making re-contact with parents in light of their loss is not ethical, given the inevitable distress. While it is not a legal requirement in the state of Victoria, and the decision to re-contact families lay with VIFM, public sentiment regarding organ retention in Australia and abroad suggested to VIFM that future contact was necessary.

Views expressed by the subjects in this study regarding uses of stored tissue samples for research are similar to those obtained in other surveys. In a survey quoted in the National Institutes of Health study of participants who had previously provided tissue samples for research, only 7% refused any ongoing use, 26% stated they wanted to be contacted regarding further studies and 87% stated they would give consent for unlimited use of tissue for future research. This was unrelated to race, age, residence or the possibility of benefiting from the research. A survey of female breast cancer patients who had donated samples for research showed that the majority did not expect personal benefits and only a minority expressed concerns.
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